PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Attorney Docket No: Q77943

Junji SHIROKOSHI

Appln. No.: 10/702,082 Group Art Unit: 2861

Confirmation No.: 8230 Examiner: Shelby Lee FIDLER

Filed: November 6, 2003

For: IMAGE FORMING APPARATUS TO WHICH ATTACHMENT UNIT CAN BE

CONNECTED

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

ATTN: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REMARKS

Applicant offers the following comments in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance set forth on page 2 of the Notice of Allowability dated August 8, 2006. Initially, Applicant notes that independent reasons, other than those cited by the Examiner, exist for the allowability of claims 1-3 and 8-10. Further, the Examiner statement of Reasons for Allowance does not reflect the claim language with complete accuracy. For instance, Applicant notes that claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, "internal wiring in said first attachment unit between the second upper-contact point and the third lower-contact point is shifted so that a position of the first upper-contact point in said first upstream-side connector is identical to a position of the third upper-contact point in said second upstream-side connector."

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Application Serial No. 10/702,082

Attorney Docket No. Q77493

Thus, to the extent that the Examiner's statement does not accurately reflect the actual

wording, and other reasons that may exist for allowability other than those stated in the Notice of

Allowability, Applicant submits the foregoing comments to be made of record in the application.

Patent Office personnel are requested to note that the present submission does not

adversely affect the patent term adjustment accrued by the Applicant to date. As emphasized in

the "Clarification of 37 C.F.R. §1.704(c)(10) - Reduction of Patent Term Adjustment for Certain

Types of Papers Filed After a Notice of Allowance Has Been Mailed," 1247OG111 (6/26/01), "a

response to the examiner's reasons for allowance" is an example of a paper that does "not cause

substantial interference and delay in the patent issue process" and is "not considered a 'failure to

engage in reasonable efforts' to conclude processing or examination of the application."

Therefore, the Applicant remains entitled to the full patent term adjustment set forth on page 3 of

the Notice of Allowance dated August 8, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 50,245

Brian K. Shelton

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 27, 2006

2